

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION N      | O.      | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--------------------|---------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/615,150         |         | 07/08/2003  | Oleg S. Fishman      | 1946-004 US             | 8234             |  |
| 31855              | 7590    | 03/23/2006  |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| PHILIP (           |         | Γ           | VAN, QUANG T         |                         |                  |  |
| INDEL, I<br>PO BOX |         |             |                      | . ART UNIT              | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| RANCOC             | CAS, NJ | 08073       |                      | 3742                    |                  |  |
|                    |         |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/23/2006 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |         |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|---|---------|--|--|--|--|
|   |  | 10/615,150   | FISHMAN ET AL.  |         |  |  |  |  |
| Office Ac   | tion Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |         |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | Quang T. Van   | 3742  | _       |  |  |  |  |
|   | DATE of this communication a   | ppears on the cover sh   | neet with the correspondence ad   | dress   |  |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply  | THEORY DEDICE FOR DEE  | LV IC CET TO EVEID   | E AMONTHIC OR THIRTY (2   | DAVE    |  |  |  |  |
| WHICHEVER IS LOI  - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fror  - If NO period for reply is spi  - Failure to reply within the s Any reply received by the 0 | NGER, FROM THE MAILING available under the provisions of 37 CFR in the mailing date of this communication.   | DATE OF THIS COMI  1.136(a). In no event, however,  d will apply and will expire SIX  ute, cause the application to be | , may a reply be timely filed  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this c come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ·       |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to  | communication(s) filed on 30   | January 2006.  |   |         |  |  |  |  |
| ,   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.   |  |   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this appl  |  |  |   |         |  |  |  |  |
| closed in acco  | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |   |         |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <i>17 an</i>   | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17 and 19-29</u> is/are pending in the application.  |  |   |         |  |  |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |  |   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-29</u>   | Claim(s) <u>20-29</u> is/are allowed.  |  |   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17 an</u>   | Claim(s) <u>17 and 19</u> is/are rejected.   |  |   |         |  |  |  |  |
|   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s)   | _ are subject to restriction and   | or election requireme  | :nt.  |         |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |  |   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification  | on is objected to by the Exami   | ner.   |   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s)   | filed on 07 September 2005 i   | s/are: a)⊠ accepted  | or b)☐ objected to by the Exa   | miner.  |  |  |  |  |
|   | ot request that any objection to the   |  |   |         |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  | rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 11) I he oath or de   | claration is objected to by the  | Examiner. Note the at  | tached Office Action or form P  | 10-152. |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C   | . § 119  |  |   |         |  |  |  |  |
|   | nt is made of a claim for forei<br>ome * c)∭ None of:  | gn priority under 35 U.  | S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |         |  |  |  |  |
|   | The second second second present and the second sec |  |   |         |  |  |  |  |
|   | copies of the priority docume  |  |   | 1.04    |  |  |  |  |
| ,   | · · · · ·  | •  | e been received in this National  | ı Stage |  |  |  |  |
| • •   | on from the International Bure<br>d detailed Office action for a li  | •  |   |         |  |  |  |  |
| See the attache   | d detailed Office action for a fi  | at of the certified copi   | sa not received.  |         |  |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |   |         |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   | tod (DTO 903)  | <b></b> □  | onvious Cummons (DTO 442)   |         |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of References C</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's</li> </ol>  | ted (PTO-892)  Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Pa   | erview Summary (PTO-413)<br>per No(s)/Mail Date   |         |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure S<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0   |  | tice of Informal Patent Application (PT<br>her:   | O-152)  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/615,150 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bennett et al (US 4,413,406). Bennett discloses, figure 1, a processing amorphous metal into packets by bonding with low melting point material comprising the steps of placing the bond metal sheet (12) adjacent to the base metal sheet (12) to form an adjacently disposed base-bond sheet (26); and inductively heating the adjacently disposed base-bond sheet (26) by passing the base-bond sheet (26) through one or more induction coils (29) to form a bonded sheet.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chapman (US 2367715) in view of Bennett et al (US 4,413,406). Chapman discloses, figure 6, a method and apparatus for bonding two metal sheets comprising the step of placing a bond metal sheet (85) adjacent to a base metal sheet (83) comprising a substantially electrically conductive composition to form an adjacently disposed base-

Application/Control Number: 10/615,150

Art Unit: 3742

bond sheet; inductively heating the base metal sheet (83) by passing the adjacently disposed base-bond sheet (85) through one or more induction coils (41, 42, 43); melting the bond metal sheet (85) from the heat of the inductively heated base metal sheet(83) to bond the bond metal sheet (85) to the base metal sheet (83) to form a bonded base-bond sheet. However, Chapman does not disclose the step of cutting the one or more bonded products from the bonded base-bond sheet. Bennett discloses the step of cutting the one or more bonded products from the bonded base-bond sheet (col. 4, lines 3-13. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in Chapman the step of cutting the one or more bonded products from the bonded base-bond sheet as taught by Bennett in order to have a predetermined length suitable for specific use.

Page 3

- 5. Claims 20-29 are allowed.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record does not show or suggest combination of a means for bringing the first bond sheet of the first adjacently disposed base-bond sheet adjacent to the second bond sheet of the second adjacently disposed base-bond sheet to form a back-to-back layered sheeting arrangement; and one or more induction coils through which the back-to-back layered sheeting arrangement passes to inductively heat at least the first and the second base sheets to bond the first bond sheet to the first base sheet and form a first bonded sheet, and to bond the second bond sheet to the second base sheet to form a second bonded sheet as recited in claims 20-29.

## Response to Amendment

7. Applicant's arguments filed 1/30/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that claim 17 is not anticipated by Bennett because Bennett including the deposit (24) to bond to the adjacent metal sheet (12). It is not persuasive. Bennett discloses, figure 1, the steps of placing the bond metal sheet (12) adjacent to the base metal sheet (12) to form an adjacently disposed base-bond sheet (26); and inductively heating the adjacently disposed base-bond sheet (26) by passing the base-bond sheet (26) through one or more induction coils (29) to form a bonded sheet. Bennett meets all claimed limitations; therefore, claim 17 is still anticipated by Bennett. Further, it must be noted that Bennett's reference discloses the invention as claimed. The fact that they disclose additional structure not claimed is irrelevant.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang T. Van whose telephone number is 571-272-4789. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00Am 7:00Pm M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,150

Art Unit: 3742

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

QV

March 14, 2006

Quang T Van Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3742